QUANTUM RESERVOIR COMPUTING FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY FORECASTING

NAOMI MONA CHMIELEWSKI

PHD SUPERVISORS: NINA AMINI, JOSEPH MIKAEL



KI EPH MIKAEL





 $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$

RESERVOIR COMPUTING



CHOICE OF THE RESERVOIR





EXAMPLE OF A QUANTUM RESERVOIR "Partial Trace Reservoir (PTR)"



- Set of qubits forms the Reservoir
- additional ancillary qubit carries the input
- apply global dynamics on all qubits
- reinitialise ancillary qubit
- inject new input into ancillary qubit



Jiayin Chen and Hendra I. Nurdin Learning Nonlinear Input-Output Maps with Dissipative Quantum Systems In: Quantum Information Processing 18 (7), 2019

EXAMPLE OF A QUANTUM RESERVOIR "Random Reinitialisation Reservoir (RRR)"



- with probability (1 α)v apply T_0
- with probability $(1-\alpha)(1-\nu)$ apply T_1
- \bullet with probability α reinitialise the state to σ



Jiayin Chen et al. Temporal information processing on noisy quantum computers In: Physical Review Applied 14 (2), 2020

ο γ T_1 e state to σ

$$\rho_{t+1} = T_0(\rho_t)$$

$$\rho_{t+1} = T_1(\rho_t)$$

 $ho_{t+1}=\sigma$ In: Physical Review Applied 14 (2), 2020



Classical Reservoir performance vs Quantum Simulation

GENERALISATION BOUNDS

Generalisation	 Consider a hypothesis class of statis models
R(H) :=	 The Generalisation Error is the expect loss of a hypothesis H over a probab distribution P
$\mathbb{E}_{(V,Y)\sim P}[\ell(H(V),Y_0)]$	 Probability distribution is generally u

• Upper bound the worst in-class difference between the generalisation error and the empirical risk

$$\hat{R}_m(H) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(v_i, y_i)$$



ion $\frac{Bounds}{\sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \left| R(H) - \hat{R}_m(H) \right|}$

STEPS TO ESTABLISH GENERALISATION BOUND

02

LIPSCHITZ

01

show that the readout function h is Lipschitzcontinuous

CONTRACTIVE

find conditions under which the CPTP map is contractive, with explicit contractivity constant

Lukas Gonon et al. Risk Bounds for Reservoir Computing In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 21 (240), 2020



RADEMACHER COMPLEXITY

show that the Rademacher Complexity of the QRC class decreases as (1/k)^1/2

RADEMACHER COMPLEXITY



RADEMACHER COMPLEXITY



RADEMACHER COMPLEXITY





N. M. Chmielewski, N. Amini and J. Mikael. Risk Bounds for Quantum Reservoirs with Polynomial Readout *In phase of submission at Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR)*, 2024.

$$\sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \left| R(H) - \hat{R}_m(H) \right|$$

$$\leq \mathcal{O}\left(\bar{L}_h \max\left\{\frac{C_1}{m}, C_2\frac{\log m}{m}, C_3\sqrt{\frac{\log r}{m}}\right\}\right)$$





N. M. Chmielewski, N. Amini and J. Mikael. Risk Bounds for Quantum Reservoirs with Polynomial Readout *In phase of submission at Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR)*, 2024.

$$\sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \left| R(H) - \hat{R}_m(H) \right|$$

$$\leq \mathcal{O}\left(\bar{L}_h \max\left\{ \frac{C_1}{m}, \frac{C_2 \log m}{m}, \frac{C_3 \sqrt{\log m}}{m}, \frac{C_3 \sqrt{\log m}}{m}, \frac{C_3 \sqrt{\log m}}{m}, \frac{C_3 \sqrt{\log m}}{m} \right\}$$
Reservoir-Dependent Corrections



nstants

N. M. Chmielewski, N. Amini and J. Mikael. Risk Bounds for Quantum Reservoirs with Polynomial Readout *In phase of submission at Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR)*, 2024.

$$\sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \left| R(H) - \hat{R}_m(H) \right|$$

$$\leq \mathcal{O}\left(\bar{L}_h \max\left\{ \frac{C_1}{m}, C_2 \frac{\log m}{m}, C_3 \sqrt{\frac{\log m}{m}} \right\} \right)$$
Reservoir-Dependent Co



onstants



N. M. Chmielewski, N. Amini and J. Mikael. Risk Bounds for Quantum Reservoirs with Polynomial Readout *In phase of submission at Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR)*, 2024.

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\overline{L}_{h}\max\left\{\frac{C_{1}}{m}, C_{2}\frac{\log m}{m}, C_{3}\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{m}}\right\}\right)$$
Lipschitz-constant of readout function $\sim n\sqrt{2^{n}n!}$



CONCLUSION

We showed that the generalisation error of the QRC class converges in $\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{m}}$ but we need to improve the Lipschitz-constant.

Use different readout functions (e.g. linear)

Can we still have universality?

Model and implement a hardware efficient QRC



Estimate Information Processing Capacity of different models